



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Anisotropic, mixed-norm Lizorkin-Triebel spaces and diffeomorphic maps

Johnsen, Jon; Hansen, Sabrina Munch; Sickel, Winfried

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Johnsen, J., Hansen, S. M., & Sickel, W. (2013). *Anisotropic, mixed-norm Lizorkin-Triebel spaces and diffeomorphic maps*. Department of Mathematical Sciences, Aalborg University. Research Report Series No. R-2013-06

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

AALBORG UNIVERSITY

Anisotropic, mixed-norm Lizorkin-Triebel spaces and diffeomorphic maps

by

J. Johnsen, S. Munch Hansen and W. Sickel

R-2013-06

August 2013

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
AALBORG UNIVERSITY

Fredrik Bajers Vej 7 G ■ DK-9220 Aalborg Øst ■ Denmark

Phone: +45 99 40 99 40 ■ Telefax: +45 99 40 35 48

URL: <http://www.math.aau.dk>



ANISOTROPIC, MIXED-NORM LIZORKIN–TRIEBEL SPACES AND DIFFEOMORPHIC MAPS

J. JOHNSEN, S. MUNCH HANSEN, W. SICKEL

AUGUST 23, 2013

ABSTRACT. This article gives general results on invariance of anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms under coordinate transformations on Euclidean space, open sets and cylindrical domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues a study of anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel spaces $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with mixed norms, which was begun in [JS07, JS08] and followed up in our joint work [JHS12].

First Sobolev embeddings and completeness of the scale $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were established in [JS07], using the Nikol’skiĭ–Plancherel–Polya inequality for sequences of functions in the mixed-norm space $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which was obtained straightforwardly in [JS07]. Then a detailed trace theory for hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n was worked out in [JS08], e.g. with the novelty that the well-known borderline $s = 1/p$ has to be shifted upwards in some cases, because of the mixed norms.

Secondly, our joint paper [JHS12] presented some general characterisations of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which may be specialised to kernels of local means, in Triebel’s sense [Tri92]. One interest of this is that local means have recently been useful for obtaining wavelet bases of Sobolev spaces and especially of their generalisations to the Besov and Lizorkin–Triebel scales. Cf. works of Vybiral [Vyb06, Th. 2.12], Triebel [Tri08, Th. 1.20], Hansen [Han10, Th. 4.3.1].

In the present paper, we treat the invariance of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ under coordinate changes. During the discussions below, the results in [JHS12] are crucial for the entire strategy.

Indeed, we address the main technical challenge to obtain invariance of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the map

$$f \mapsto f \circ \sigma,$$

when σ is a bounded diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n . (Cf. Theorem 4, 5 below.) Not surprisingly, this will require the condition on σ that it only affects blocks of variables x_j in which the corresponding integral exponents p_j are equal, and similarly for the anisotropic weights a_j . Moreover, when estimating the operator norm of $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$, i.e. obtaining the inequality

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1}$$

the Fourier analytic definition of the spaces seems difficult to manage directly, so as done by Triebel [Tri92] we have chosen to characterise $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of local means, as developed in [JHS12].

However, the diffeomorphism invariance relies not just on the local means, but first of all also on techniques underlying them. In particular, we use the following inequality for the maximal function $\psi_j^* f(x)$ of Peetre-Fefferman–Stein type, which was established in [JHS12, Th. 2] for mixed norms and with uniformity with respect to a general parameter θ :

$$\left\| \{2^{sj} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \psi_{\theta,j}^* f\}_{j=0}^\infty \mid L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \right\| \leq c \left\| \{2^{sj} \varphi_j^* f\}_{j=0}^\infty \mid L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \right\|.$$

Hereby the ‘cut-off’ functions ψ_j , φ_j should fulfill a set of Tauberian and moment conditions; cf. Theorem 1 below for the full statement. In the isotropic case this inequality originated in a well-known article of Rychkov [Ryc99a], which contains a serious flaw (as pointed out in [Han10]); this and other inaccuracies were corrected in [JHS12].

A second adaptation of Triebel’s approach is caused by the anisotropy \vec{a} we treat here. In fact, our proof only extends to e.g. $s < 0$ by means of the unconventional lift operator

$$\Lambda_r = \text{OP}(\lambda_r), \quad \lambda_r(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + \xi_j^2)^{\frac{r}{2a_j}}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, to cover all $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, especially to allow irrational ratios a_j/a_k , we found it useful to invoke the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $(1 - \partial_j^2)^\mu = \text{OP}((1 + \xi_j^2)^\mu)$ that for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are shown here to be bounded $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-2a_j\mu,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all s .

Local versions of our result, in which σ is only defined on subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , are also treated below. In short form we have e.g. the following result (cf. Theorem 6 below):

Theorem. *Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$ be a C^∞ -bijection on the form $\sigma(x) = (\sigma'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n)$. When $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V)$ has compact support and all p_j are equal for $j < n$, and similarly for the a_j , then $f \circ \sigma \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ and*

$$\|f \circ \sigma \mid F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)\| \leq c(\text{supp } f, \sigma) \|f \mid F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V)\|. \quad (3)$$

This is useful for introduction of Lizorkin–Triebel spaces on cylindrical manifolds. However, this subject is postponed to our forthcoming paper [JHS]. (Already this part of the mixed-norm theory has seemingly not been elucidated before). Moreover, in [JHS] we also carry over trace results from [JS08] to spaces over a smooth cylindrical domain in Euclidean space e.g. by analysing boundedness and ranges for traces on the flat and curved parts of its boundary.

To elucidate the importance of the results here and in [JHS], we recall that the $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ are relevant for parabolic differential equations with initial and boundary value conditions: when solutions are sought in a *mixed-norm* Lebesgue space $L_{\vec{p}}$ (in order to allow different properties in the space and time directions), then $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -spaces are in general *inevitable* for a correct description of non-trivial data on the *curved* boundary.

This conclusion was obtained in works of P. Weidemaier [Wei98, Wei02, Wei05], who treated several special cases; one may also consult the introduction of [JS08] for details.

Contents. Section 2 contains a review of our notation, and the definition of anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms is recalled, together with some needed properties, a discussion of different lift operators and a pointwise multiplier assertion.

In Section 3 results from [JHS12] on characterisation of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -spaces by local means are recalled and used to prove an important lemma for compactly supported elements in $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$. Sufficient conditions for $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ to leave the spaces $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ invariant for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ are deduced in Section 4, when σ is a bounded diffeomorphism. Local versions for spaces on domains are derived in Section 5 together with isotropic results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains all rapidly decreasing C^∞ -functions. It is equipped with the family of seminorms, using $D^\alpha := (-i\partial_{x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (-i\partial_{x_n})^{\alpha_n}$ for each multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $\langle x \rangle^2 := 1 + |x|^2$,

$$p_M(\varphi) := \sup \{ \langle x \rangle^M |D^\alpha \varphi(x)| \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq M \}, \quad M \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad (4)$$

or with

$$q_{N,\alpha}(\psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x \rangle^N |D^\alpha \psi(x)| dx, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \quad (5)$$

The Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}g(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} g(x) dx$ for $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends by duality to the dual space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of temperate distributions.

Inequalities for vectors $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ are understood componentwise; as are functions, e.g. $\vec{p}! = p_1! \cdots p_n!$. Moreover, $t_+ := \max(0, t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$ the space $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions such that

$$\|u\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\dots \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} \dots \right)^{p_n/p_{n-1}} dx_n \right)^{1/p_n} < \infty, \quad (6)$$

with the modification of using the essential supremum over x_j in case $p_j = \infty$. Equipped with this quasi-norm, $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a quasi-Banach space (normed if $p_j \geq 1$ for all j).

Furthermore, for $0 < q \leq \infty$ we shall use the notation $L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the space of all sequences $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of Lebesgue measurable functions $u_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|\{u_k\}_{k=0}^\infty\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty |u_k(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\| < \infty, \quad (7)$$

with supremum over k in case $q = \infty$. This quasi-norm is often abbreviated to $\|u_k\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)}$; and when $\vec{p} = (p, \dots, p)$ we simplify $L_{\vec{p}}$ to L_p . If $\max(p_1, \dots, p_n, q) < \infty$ sequences of C_0^∞ -functions are dense in $L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)$.

Generic constants will primarily be denoted by c or C and when relevant, their dependence on certain parameters will be explicitly stated. $B(0, r)$ stands for the ball in \mathbb{R}^n centered at 0 with radius $r > 0$, and \bar{U} denotes the closure of a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

2.2. Anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel Spaces with Mixed Norms. The scales of mixed-norm Lizorkin–Triebel spaces refines the scales of mixed-norm Sobolev spaces, cf. [JS08, Prop. 2.10], hence the history of these spaces goes far back in time; the reader is referred to [JHS12, Rem. 2.3] and [JS07, Rem. 10] for a brief historical overview, which also list some of the ways to define Lizorkin–Triebel spaces.

Our exposition uses the Fourier-analytic definition, but first we recall the definition of the anisotropic distance function $|\cdot|_{\vec{a}}$, where $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in [1, \infty]^n$, on \mathbb{R}^n and some of its properties. Using the quasi-homogeneous dilation $t^{\vec{a}}x := (t^{a_1}x_1, \dots, t^{a_n}x_n)$ for $t \geq 0$, $|x|_{\vec{a}}$ is for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ defined as the unique $t > 0$ such that $t^{-\vec{a}}x \in S^{n-1}$ ($|0|_{\vec{a}} := 0$), i.e.

$$\frac{x_1^2}{t^{2a_1}} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{t^{2a_n}} = 1. \quad (8)$$

By the Implicit Function Theorem, $|\cdot|_{\vec{a}}$ is C^∞ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. We also recall the quasi-homogeneity $|t^{\vec{a}}x|_{\vec{a}} = t|x|_{\vec{a}}$ together with (cf. [JS07, Sec. 3])

$$|x + y|_{\vec{a}} \leq |x|_{\vec{a}} + |y|_{\vec{a}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\max(|x_1|^{1/a_1}, \dots, |x_n|^{1/a_n}) \leq |x|_{\vec{a}} \leq |x_1|^{1/a_1} + \dots + |x_n|^{1/a_n}. \quad (10)$$

The definition of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uses a Littlewood-Paley decomposition, i.e. $1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j(\xi)$, which (for convenience) is based on a fixed $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ such that $0 \leq \psi(\xi) \leq 1$ for all ξ , $\psi(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi|_{\vec{a}} \leq 1$ and $\psi(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi|_{\vec{a}} \geq 3/2$; setting $\Phi = \psi - \psi(2^{\vec{a}}\cdot)$, we define

$$\Phi_0(\xi) := \psi(\xi), \quad \Phi_j(\xi) := \Phi(2^{-j\vec{a}}\xi), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (11)$$

Definition 1. The Lizorkin–Triebel space $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ consists of all $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Phi_j(\xi)\mathcal{F}u(\xi))(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

The number q is called the sum exponent and the entries in \vec{p} are integral exponents, while s is a smoothness index. Usually the statements are valid for the full ranges $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, so we refrain from repeating these. Instead we focus on whether $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is allowed or not. In the isotropic case, i.e. $\vec{a} = (1, \dots, 1)$, the parameter \vec{a} is omitted.

We shall also consider the closely related Besov spaces, recalled using the abbreviation

$$u_j(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Phi_j(\xi)\mathcal{F}u(\xi))(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (12)$$

Definition 2. The Besov space $B_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{B_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|u_j\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

In [JS07, JS08] many results on these classes are elaborated, hence we just recall a few facts. They are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces if $\min(p_1, \dots, p_n, q) \geq 1$) and the quasi-norm is subadditive, when raised to the power $d := \min(1, p_1, \dots, p_n, q)$,

$$\|u + v\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^d \leq \|u\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^d + \|v\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^d, \quad u, v \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (13)$$

Also the spaces do not depend on the chosen anisotropic decomposition of unity (up to equivalent quasi-norms) and there are continuous embeddings

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (14)$$

where \mathcal{S} is dense in $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ for $q < \infty$.

Since for $\lambda > 0$, the space $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ coincides with $F_{\vec{p},q}^{\lambda s, \lambda \vec{a}}$, cf. [JS08, Lem. 3.24], most results obtained for the scales when $\vec{a} \geq 1$ can be extended to the case $0 < \vec{a} < 1$ (for details we refer to [JHS12, Rem. 2.6]).

The subspace $L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of locally integrable functions is equipped with the Fréchet space topology defined from the seminorms $u \mapsto \int_{|x| \leq j} |u(x)| dx$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the Banach space of bounded, continuous functions, endowed with the sup-norm.

Lemma 1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ be arbitrary.*

- (i) *The differential operator D^α is bounded $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-\vec{a} \cdot \alpha, \vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*
- (ii) *For $s > \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{a_\ell}{p_\ell} - a_\ell\right)_+$ there is an embedding $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*
- (iii) *The embedding $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds true for $s > \frac{a_1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{p_n}$.*

Proof. For part (i) the reader is referred to [JS08, Lem. 3.22], where a proof using standard techniques for $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ is indicated (though the reference should have been to Proposition 3.13 instead of 3.14 there).

Part (ii) is obtained from the Nikol'skij inequality, cf. [JS07, Cor. 3.8], which allows a reduction to the case in which $p_j \geq 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, while $s > 0$; then the claim follows from the embedding $F_{\vec{p},1}^{s,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow L_{1,\text{loc}}$. Part (iii) follows at once from [JS08, (3.20)]. \square

A local maximisation over a ball can be estimated in $L_{\vec{p}}$, at least for functions in certain subspaces of $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$; cf. Lemma 1(iii):

Lemma 2 ([JHS12]). *When $C > 0$ and $s > \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{a_l}{\min(p_1, \dots, p_l)}$, then*

$$\left\| \sup_{|x-y| < C} |u(y)| \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} \leq c \|u\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}. \quad (15)$$

Next we extend a well-known embedding to the mixed-norm setting. Let $C_*^\rho(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Hölder class of order $\rho > 0$, which by definition consists of all $u \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_\rho := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha u(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{x-y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)| |x-y|^{k-\rho} < \infty, \quad (16)$$

whereby k is the integer satisfying $k < \rho \leq k+1$.

Lemma 3. *For $\rho > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq \rho$ there is an embedding $C_*^\rho(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. The claim follows by modifying [Hör97, Prop. 8.6.1] to the anisotropic case, i.e.

$$\|u\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s,\vec{a}}} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{sj} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Phi_j \mathcal{F}u)(x)| \leq c_\rho \|u\|_\rho. \quad (17)$$

The expressions in the Besov norm are for $j \geq 1$ estimated using that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi$ has vanishing moments of arbitrary order,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Phi_j \mathcal{F}u)(x) = \int \mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi(y) \left(u(x - 2^{-j\vec{a}}y) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{\partial^\alpha u(x)}{\alpha!} (-2^{-j\vec{a}}y)^\alpha \right) dy. \quad (18)$$

A Taylor expansion of order $k - 1$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen such that $k < \rho \leq k + 1$, yields an estimate of the parenthesis by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k}{\alpha!} (-2^{-j\vec{a}}y)^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{k-1} (\partial^\alpha u(x - 2^{-j\vec{a}}\theta y) - \partial^\alpha u(x)) d\theta \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k}{\alpha!} |2^{-j\vec{a}}y|^k \|u\|_\rho |2^{-j\vec{a}}y|^{\rho-k} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{k-1} d\theta \leq c'_\rho |2^{-j\vec{a}}y|^\rho \|u\|_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now we obtain, since $\vec{a} \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Phi_j \mathcal{F}u)(x)| \leq c'_\rho 2^{-j\rho} \|u\|_\rho \int |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi(y)| |y|^\rho dy \leq c_\rho 2^{-j\rho} \|u\|_\rho. \quad (20)$$

This bound can also be used for $j = 0$, if c_ρ is large enough, so (17) holds for $\rho \geq s$. \square

As a tool we also need to know the mapping properties of certain Fourier multipliers $\lambda(D)u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi))$. For generality's sake, we give

Proposition 1. *When $\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ has finite seminorms of the form*

$$C_\alpha(\lambda) := \sup \left\{ 2^{-j(r-\vec{a}\cdot\alpha)} |D^\alpha \lambda(2^{j\vec{a}}\xi)| \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \frac{1}{4} \leq |\xi|_{\vec{a}} \leq 4 \right\}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad (21)$$

then $\lambda(D)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and bounded $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-r,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, with operator norm $\|\lambda(D)\| \leq c_{\vec{p},q} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N_{\vec{p},q}} C_\alpha(\lambda)$.

Proof. The quasi-homogeneity of $|\cdot|_{\vec{a}}$ yields that $|D^\alpha \lambda(\xi)| \leq c C_\alpha(\lambda) (1 + |\xi|_{\vec{a}})^{r-\vec{a}\cdot\alpha}$, hence every derivative is of polynomial growth, cf. (10), so $\lambda(D)$ is a well-defined continuous map on \mathcal{S}' . Boundedness follows as in the proof of [JS08, Prop. 3.15], mutatis mutandis. In fact, only the last step there needs an adaptation to the symbol $\lambda(\xi)$, but this is trivial because finitely many of the constants $C_\alpha(\lambda)$ can enter the estimates. \square

2.3. Lift Operators. The invariance under coordinate transformations will be established below using a somewhat unconventional lift operator Λ_r , $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Lambda_r u = \text{OP}(\lambda_r(\xi))u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_r(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)), \quad \lambda_r(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 + \xi_k^2)^{r/(2a_k)}. \quad (22)$$

To apply Proposition 1, we derive an estimate uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and over the set $\frac{1}{4} \leq |\xi|_{\vec{a}} \leq 4$: while the mixed derivatives vanish, the explicit higher order chain rule in

Appendix A yields

$$|D_{\xi_l}^{\alpha_l}(2^{-jr}\lambda_r(2^{j\vec{a}}\xi))| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_l} c_k(2^{-2ja_l} + \xi_l^2)^{\frac{r}{2a_l}-k} 2^{j(\alpha_l a_l - 2ka_l)} \sum_{\substack{k=n_1+n_2 \\ \alpha_l=n_1+2n_2}} (2(2^{ja_l}\xi_l))^{n_1} 2^{n_2} < \infty. \quad (23)$$

Indeed, the precise summation range gives $\alpha_l = n_1 + 2(k - n_1)$, so the harmless power $2^{n_1+n_2}$ results. (Note that this means that $|D^\alpha \lambda_r(2^{j\vec{a}}\xi)| \leq C_\alpha 2^{j(r-\vec{a}\cdot\alpha)}$.)

Now $\lambda_r(\xi)$ has no zeros, and for $\lambda_r(\xi)^{-1}$ it is analogous to obtain such estimates uniformly with respect to j of $D^\alpha(2^{jr}\lambda_r(2^{j\vec{a}}\xi)^{-1})$, using Appendix A and the above. So Proposition 1 gives both that Λ_r is a homeomorphism on \mathcal{S}' (although $\Lambda_r^{-1} \neq \Lambda_{-r}$) and the proof of

Lemma 4. *The map Λ_r is a linear homeomorphism $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-r,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

In a similar way one also finds the next auxiliary result.

Lemma 5. *For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the map $(1 - \partial_{x_k}^2)^\mu u = \text{OP}((1 + \xi_k^2)^\mu)u$ is a linear homeomorphism $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-2\mu a_k,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

A standard choice of an anisotropic lift operator is obtained by associating each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $(1, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, which is given the weights $(1, \vec{a})$, and by setting

$$\langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}} = |(1, \xi)|_{(1, \vec{a})}. \quad (24)$$

This is in C^∞ , as $|\cdot|_{(1, \vec{a})}$ is so outside the origin. (Note the analogy to $\langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$.) Moreover, $\partial^\alpha \langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^t$ is for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ estimated by powers of $|\xi|$, cf. [Yam86, Lem. 1.4]. Therefore there is a linear homeomorphism $\Xi_{\vec{a}}^t: \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ given by

$$\Xi_{\vec{a}}^t u := \text{OP}(\langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^t) u = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^t \widehat{u}(\xi) \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (25)$$

In our mixed-norm set-up it is a small exercise to show that it restricts to a homeomorphism

$$\Xi_{\vec{a}}^t: F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-t,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (26)$$

Indeed, invoking Proposition 1, the task is as in (23) to show a uniform bound, and using the elementary properties of $\langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}$ (cf. [Yam86, Lem. 1.4]) one finds for $t - \vec{a} \cdot \alpha \geq 0$,

$$|D^\alpha(2^{-jt} \langle 2^{j\vec{a}} \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^t)| = 2^{j(\vec{a} \cdot \alpha - t)} \left| D_\eta^\alpha \langle \eta \rangle_{\vec{a}}^t \right|_{\eta=2^{j\vec{a}}\xi} \leq c 2^{j(\vec{a} \cdot \alpha - t)} \langle 2^{j\vec{a}} \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^{t-\vec{a} \cdot \alpha} \leq c \langle \xi \rangle_{\vec{a}}^{t-\vec{a} \cdot \alpha}. \quad (27)$$

When $t - \vec{a} \cdot \alpha \leq 0$, then $|\xi|_{\vec{a}}^{t-\vec{a} \cdot \alpha}$ is the outcome on the right-hand side. But the uniformity results in both cases, since the estimates pertain to $\frac{1}{4} \leq |\xi|_{\vec{a}} \leq 4$.

We digress to recall that the classical fractional Sobolev space $H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < \vec{p} < \infty$, consists of the $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ for which $\Xi_{\vec{a}}^s u \in L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; with $\|u\|_{H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}} := \|\Xi_{\vec{a}}^s u\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}$. If $m_k := s/a_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all k , then $H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}$ coincides (as shown by Lizorkin [Liz70]) with the space $W_{\vec{p}}^{(m_1, \dots, m_n)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $u \in L_{\vec{p}}$ having $\partial_{x_k}^{m_k} u$ in $L_{\vec{p}}$ for all k .

This characterisation is valid for $F_{\vec{p},2}^{s,\vec{a}}$ with $1 < \vec{p} < \infty$ in view of the identification

$$u \in H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff u \in F_{\vec{p},2}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (28)$$

which by use of Ξ^s reduces to the case $L_{\vec{p}} = F_{\vec{p},2}^{0,\vec{a}}$. The latter is a Littlewood-Paley inequality that may be proved with general methods of harmonic analysis; cf. [JS08, Rem. 3.16].

A general reference on mixed-norm Sobolev spaces is the classical book of Besov, Ilin and Nikolskii [BIN79, BIN96]. Schmeisser and Triebel [ScTr87] treated $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ for $n = 2$.

Remark 1. *Traces on hyperplanes were considered for $H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lizorkin [Liz70] and for $W_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Bugrov [Bug71], who raised the problem of traces at $\{x_j = 0\}$ for $j < n$. This was solved by Berkolaiko, who treated traces in the $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -scales for $1 < \vec{p} < \infty$ in e.g. [Ber85]. The range $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$ was covered on \mathbb{R}^n for $j = 1$ and $j = n$ in [JS08], and in our forthcoming paper [JHS] we carry over the trace results to $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -spaces over a smooth cylindrical domain $\Omega \times]0, T[$.*

Remark 2. *We take the opportunity to correct a minor inaccuracy in [JS08], where a lift operator (also) called Λ_r unfortunately was defined to have symbol $(1 + |\xi|_{\vec{a}}^2)^{r/2}$. However, it is not in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\vec{a} \neq (1, \dots, 1)$; this can be seen from the example for $n = 2$ with $\vec{a} = (2, 1)$ where [Yam86, Ex. 1.1] gives the explicit formula*

$$|\xi|_{\vec{a}} = 2^{-1/2} (\xi_2^2 + (\xi_2^4 + 4\xi_1^2)^{1/2})^{1/2}. \quad (29)$$

Here an easy calculation shows that $D_{\xi_1} |\xi|_{\vec{a}}^2$ is discontinuous along the line $(\xi_1, 0)$, which is inherited by the symbol e.g. for $r = 2$. The resulting operator is therefore not defined on all of \mathcal{S}' . However, this is straightforward to avoid by replacing the lift operator in [JS08] by the better choice Ξ^r given in (26). This gives the space $H_{\vec{p}}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in (28).

2.4. Paramultiplication. This section contains a pointwise multiplier assertion for the $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -scales. We consider the densely defined product on $\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}'$, introduced in [Joh95, Def. 3.1] and in an isotropic set-up in [RS96, Ch. 4],

$$u \cdot v := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\psi(2^{-j\vec{a}}\xi) \mathcal{F}u(\xi)) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\psi(2^{-j\vec{a}}\xi) \mathcal{F}v(\xi)), \quad (30)$$

which is considered for those pairs (u, v) in $\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}'$ for which the limit on the right-hand side exists in \mathcal{D}' and is independent of ψ . Here $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ is the function used in the construction of the Littlewood-Paley decomposition (in principle the independence should be verified for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ equalling 1 near the origin; but this is not a problem here).

To illustrate how this product extends the usual one, and to prepare for an application below, the following is recalled:

Lemma 6 ([Joh95]). *When $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has derivatives of any order of polynomial growth, and when $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is arbitrary, then the limit in (30) exists and equals the usual product $f \cdot g$, as defined on $C^\infty \times \mathcal{D}'$.*

Using this extended product, we introduce the usual space of multipliers

$$M(F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}) := \{u \in \mathcal{S}' \mid u \cdot v \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \text{ for all } v \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}\} \quad (31)$$

equipped with the induced operator quasi-norm

$$\|u\|_{M(F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}})} := \sup \{ \|u \cdot v\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} \mid \|v\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} \leq 1 \}. \quad (32)$$

As Lemma 3 at once yields $C_{L_\infty}^\infty \subset \bigcap_{s>0} B_{\infty,\infty}^{s,\vec{a}}$ (a well-known result in the isotropic case) for $C_{L_\infty}^\infty := \{g \in C^\infty \mid \forall \alpha: D^\alpha g \in L_\infty\}$, the next result is in particular valid for $u \in C_{L_\infty}^\infty$:

Lemma 7. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and take $s_1 > s$ such that also*

$$s_1 > \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{a_\ell}{\min(1, q, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)} - a_\ell \right) - s. \quad (33)$$

Then each $u \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}}$ defines a multiplier of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ and

$$\|u\| M(F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}) \leq c \|u\| B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}}. \quad (34)$$

Proof. The proof will be brief as it is based on standard arguments from paramultiplication, cf. [Joh95] and [RS96, Ch. 4] for details. In particular we shall use the decomposition

$$u \cdot v = \Pi_1(u, v) + \Pi_2(u, v) + \Pi_3(u, v). \quad (35)$$

The exact form of this can also be recalled from the below formulae. In terms of the Littlewood-Paley partition $1 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \Phi_j(\xi)$ from Definition 1, we set $\Psi_j = \Phi_0 + \dots + \Phi_j$ for $j \geq 1$ and $\Psi_0 = \Phi_0$. These are used in Fourier multipliers, now written with upper indices as $u^j = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Psi_j \hat{u})$.

Note first that $s_1 > 0$, whence $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow L_\infty$, which is useful since the dyadic corona criterion for $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$, cf. [JS08, Lem. 3.20], implies the well-known simple estimate

$$\|\Pi_1(u, v)\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \leq c \|u\| L_\infty \|v\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}. \quad (36)$$

Furthermore, since

$$s_2 := s_1 + s > \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{a_\ell}{\min(1, q, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)} - |\vec{a}|, \quad (37)$$

using the dyadic ball criterion for $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$, cf. [JS08, Lem. 3.19], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_2(u, v)\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s_2,\vec{a}} &\leq c \|2^{js_2} u_j v_j\| L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \\ &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{ks_1} \|u_k\| L_\infty \|2^{js} v_j\| L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \\ &\leq c \|u\| B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}} \|v\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

To estimate $\Pi_3(u, v)$ we first consider the case $s > 0$ and pick $t \in]s, s_1[$. The dyadic corona criterion together with the formula $v^j = v_0 + \dots + v_j$ and a summation lemma, which exploits that $t - s_1 < 0$ (cf. [Yam86, Lem. 3.8]), give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_3(u, v)\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{t,\vec{a}} &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{ks_1} \|u_k\| L_\infty \|2^{(t-s_1)j} v^{j-2}\| L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \\ &\leq c \|u\| B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}} \left\| 2^{(t-s_1)j} \sum_{k=0}^j v_k \right\| L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q) \\ &\leq c \|u\| B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}} \|v\| F_{\vec{p},q}^{t-s_1,\vec{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Since $t - s_1 < 0 < s$ implies $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{t-s_1,\vec{a}}$, and also $F_{\vec{p},q}^{t,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ holds, the above yields

$$\|\Pi_3(u, v) | F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}\| \leq c \|u | B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_1,\vec{a}}\| \|v | F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}\|. \quad (40)$$

For $s \leq 0$ the procedure is analogous, except that (39) is derived for $t \in]0, s_1 + s[$, which is non-empty by assumption (33) on s ; then standard embeddings again give (40).

In closing, we remark that as required the product $u \cdot v$ is independent of the test function ψ appearing in the definition. Indeed for $q < \infty$ this follows from Lemma 6, which gives the coincidence between this product on $\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}$ and the usual one, hence by density of \mathcal{S} , cf. (14), and the above estimates, the map $v \mapsto u \cdot v$ extends uniquely by continuity to all $g \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$. For $q = \infty$ the embedding $F_{\vec{p},\infty}^{s,\vec{a}} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p},1}^{s-\varepsilon,\vec{a}}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ yields the independence using the previous case. \square

3. CHARACTERISATION BY LOCAL MEANS

Characterisation of Lizorkin–Triebel spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ by local means is due to Triebel, [Tri92, 2.4.6], and it was from the outset an important tool in proving invariance of the scale under diffeomorphisms. An extensive treatment of characterisations of mixed-norm spaces $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ in terms of quasi-norms based on convolutions, in particular the case of local means, was given in [JHS12], which to a large extent is based on extensions to mixed norms of inequalities in [Ryc99a]. For the reader's convenience we recall the needed results.

Throughout this section we consider a fixed anisotropy $\vec{a} \geq 1$ with $\underline{a} := \min(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and functions $\psi_0, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that fulfil Tauberian conditions in terms of some $\varepsilon > 0$ and/or a moment condition of order $M_\psi \geq -1$ ($M_\psi = -1$ means that the condition is void),

$$|\mathcal{F}\psi_0(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \{\xi \mid |\xi|_{\vec{a}} < 2\varepsilon\}, \quad (41)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}\psi(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \{\xi \mid \varepsilon/2 < |\xi|_{\vec{a}} < 2\varepsilon\}, \quad (42)$$

$$D^\alpha(\mathcal{F}\psi)(0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \leq M_\psi. \quad (43)$$

Note by (10) that in case (41) is fulfilled for the Euclidean distance, it holds true also in the anisotropic case, perhaps with a different ε .

We henceforth change notation, from (12), to

$$\varphi_j(x) = 2^{j|\vec{a}|} \varphi(2^{j\vec{a}}x), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (44)$$

which gives rise to the sequence $(\psi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. The non-linear Peetre–Fefferman–Stein maximal operators induced by $(\psi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are for an arbitrary vector $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n) > 0$ and any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by (dependence on \vec{a} and \vec{r} is omitted)

$$\psi_j^* f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_j * f(y)|}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (1 + 2^{ja_\ell} |x_\ell - y_\ell|)^{r_\ell}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (45)$$

Later we shall also refer to the trivial estimate

$$|\psi_j * f(x)| \leq \psi_j^* f(x). \quad (46)$$

Finally for an index set Θ , we consider $\psi_{\theta,0}, \psi_{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, where the ψ_{θ} satisfy (43) for some $M_{\psi_{\theta}}$ independent of $\theta \in \Theta$, and also $\varphi_0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that fulfil (41)–(42) in terms of an $\varepsilon' > 0$. Setting $\psi_{\theta,j}(x) = 2^{j|\vec{a}|}\psi_{\theta}(2^{j\vec{a}}x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can state the first result relating different quasi-norms.

Theorem 1 ([JHS12]). *Let $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < s < (M_{\psi_{\theta}} + 1)\underline{a}$. For a given \vec{r} in (45) and an integer $M \geq -1$ chosen so large that $(M + 1)\underline{a} - 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} + s > 0$, we assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \max \|D^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi_{\theta} |L_{\infty}\| &< \infty, \\ B &:= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \max \|(1 + |\xi|)^{M+1} D^{\gamma} \mathcal{F}\psi_{\theta}(\xi) |L_1\| &< \infty, \\ C &:= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \max \|D^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi_{\theta,0} |L_{\infty}\| &< \infty, \\ D &:= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \max \|(1 + |\xi|)^{M+1} D^{\gamma} \mathcal{F}\psi_{\theta,0}(\xi) |L_1\| &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the maxima are over α such that $|\alpha| \leq M_{\psi_{\theta}} + 1$ or $\alpha \leq [\vec{r} + 2]$, respectively over γ with $\gamma_j \leq r_j + 2$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \{2^{sj} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \psi_{\theta,j}^* f\}_{j=0}^{\infty} |L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)\| \leq c(A + B + C + D) \left\| \{2^{sj} \varphi_j^* f\}_{j=0}^{\infty} |L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)\| \right\|. \quad (47)$$

It is also possible to estimate the maximal function in terms of the convolution appearing in its numerator:

Theorem 2 ([JHS12]). *Let $\psi_0, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy the Tauberian conditions (41)–(42). When $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $-\infty < s < \infty$ and*

$$\frac{1}{r_l} < \min(q, p_1, \dots, p_n), \quad l = 1, \dots, n \quad (48)$$

there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \{2^{sj} \psi_j^* f\}_{j=0}^{\infty} |L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)\| \leq c \left\| \{2^{sj} \psi_j * f\}_{j=0}^{\infty} |L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)\| \right\|. \quad (49)$$

As a consequence of Theorems 1, 2 (the first applied for a trivial index set like $\Theta = \{1\}$), we obtain the characterisation of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -spaces by local means:

Theorem 3 ([JHS12]). *Let $k_0, k^0 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\int k_0(x) dx \neq 0 \neq \int k^0(x) dx$ and set $k(x) = \Delta^N k^0(x)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. When $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, and $-\infty < s < 2N\underline{a}$, then a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if (cf. (44) for the k_j)*

$$\|f |F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}\|^* := \|k_0 * f |L_{\vec{p}}\| + \|\{2^{sj} k_j * f\}_{j=1}^{\infty} |L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)\| < \infty. \quad (50)$$

Furthermore, $\|f |F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}\|^*$ is an equivalent quasi-norm on $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Application of Theorem 3 yields a useful result regarding Lizorkin–Triebel spaces on open subsets, when these are defined by restriction, i.e.

Definition 3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. The space $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ is defined as the set of all $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ such that there exists a distribution $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$f(\varphi) = u(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(U). \quad (51)$$

We equip $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ with the quotient quasi-norm $\|u\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)} = \inf_{r_U f = u} \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$; it is normed if $\vec{p}, q \geq 1$.

In (51) it is tacitly understood that on the left-hand side φ is extended by 0 outside U . For this we henceforth use the operator notation $e_U \varphi$. Likewise r_U denotes restriction to U , whereby $u = r_U f$ in (51).

The Besov spaces $B_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ on U can be defined analogously. The quotient norms have the well-known advantage that embeddings and completeness can be transferred directly from the spaces on \mathbb{R}^n . However, the spaces are probably of little interest, if ∂U does not satisfy some regularity conditions, because we then expect (as in the isotropic case) that they do not coincide with those defined intrinsically.

Lemma 8. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $r > 0$. When $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ has the infimum quasi-norm derived from the local means in Theorem 3 fulfilling $\text{supp } k_0, \text{supp } k \subset B(0, r)$, and

$$\text{dist}(\text{supp } f, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U) > 2r \quad (52)$$

holds for some $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ with compact support, then

$$\|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)} = \|e_U f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (53)$$

In other words, the infimum is attained at $e_U f$ for such f .

Proof. For any other extension $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the difference $g = \tilde{f} - e_U f$ is non-zero in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } e_U f \cap \text{supp } g = \emptyset$. So by the properties of r ,

$$\text{supp}(k_j * e_U f) \cap \text{supp}(k_j * g) = \emptyset, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (54)$$

Since $g \neq 0$ there is some j such that $\text{supp}(k_j * g) \neq \emptyset$, hence $k_j * g(x) \neq 0$ on an open set disjoint from $\text{supp}(k_j * e_U f)$. This term therefore effectively contributes to the $L_{\vec{p}}$ -norm in (50) and thus $\|\tilde{f}\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} = \|e_U f + g\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} > \|e_U f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}$, which shows (53). \square

4. INVARIANCE UNDER DIFFEOMORPHISMS

The aim of this section is to show that $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is invariant under suitable diffeomorphisms $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and from this deduce similar results in a variety of set-ups.

4.1. Bounded Diffeomorphisms. A one-to-one mapping $y = \sigma(x)$ of \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^n is here called a diffeomorphism if the components $\sigma_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have classical derivatives $D^\alpha \sigma_j$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We set $\tau(y) = \sigma^{-1}(y)$.

For convenience σ is called a *bounded diffeomorphism* when σ and τ furthermore satisfy

$$C_{\alpha,\sigma} := \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|D^\alpha \sigma_j\|_{L_\infty} < \infty, \quad (55)$$

$$C_{\alpha,\tau} := \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|D^\alpha \tau_j\|_{L_\infty} < \infty. \quad (56)$$

In this case there are obviously positive constants (when $J\sigma$ denotes the Jacobian matrix)

$$c_\sigma := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det J\sigma(x)| > 0, \quad c_\tau := \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det J\tau(y)| > 0. \quad (57)$$

E.g., by the Leibniz formula for determinants, $c_\sigma \geq 1/(n! \prod_{|\alpha|=1} C_{\alpha,\sigma}) > 0$.

Conversely, whenever a C^∞ -map $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ fulfils (55) and $c_\sigma > 0$, then τ is C^∞ (as $J\tau(y) = \frac{1}{\det J\sigma(\tau(y))} \text{Adj } J\sigma(\tau(y))$, if Adj denotes the adjugate, each $\partial_j \tau_k$ is in C^m if τ is so) and using e.g. Appendix A it is seen by induction over $|\alpha|$ that it fulfils (56). Hence σ is a bounded diffeomorphism.

Recall that for a bounded diffeomorphism σ and a temperate distribution f , the composition $f \circ \sigma$ denotes the temperate distribution given by

$$\langle f \circ \sigma, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \psi \circ \tau | \det J\tau | \rangle \quad \text{for } \psi \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (58)$$

It is continuous $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ as the adjoint of the continuous map $\psi \mapsto \psi \circ \tau | \det J\tau |$ on \mathcal{S} : since $| \det J\tau |$ is in $C_{L_\infty}^\infty$, continuity on \mathcal{S} can be shown using the higher-order chain rule to estimate each seminorm $q_{N,\alpha}(\psi \circ \tau)$, cf. (5), by $\sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} q_{N,\beta}(\psi)$ (changing variables, $\langle \sigma(\cdot) \rangle$ can be estimated using the Mean Value Theorem on each σ_j).

We need a few further conditions, due to the anisotropic situation: one can neither expect $f \circ \sigma$ to have the same regularity as f , e.g. if σ is a rotation; nor that $f \circ \sigma \in L_{\vec{p}}$ when $f \in L_{\vec{p}}$. On these grounds we first restrict to the situation in which

$$a_0 := a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1}, \quad p_0 := p_1 = \dots = p_{n-1} \quad (59)$$

and

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (60)$$

To prepare for Theorem 4 below, which gives sufficient conditions for the invariance of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ under bounded diffeomorphisms of the type (60), we first show that it suffices to have invariance for sufficiently large s :

Proposition 2. *Let σ be a bounded diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n on the form in (60). When (59) holds and there exists $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ is a linear homeomorphism of $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself for every $s > s_1$, then this holds true for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove for $s \leq s_1$ that

$$\| f \circ \sigma | F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \| \leq c \| f | F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \| \quad (61)$$

with some constant independent of f , as the reverse inequality then follows from the fact that the inverse of σ is also a bounded diffeomorphism with the structure in (60).

First $r > s_1 - s + 2a_n$ is chosen such that $d_0 := \frac{r}{2a_0}$ is a natural number. Setting $d_n = \frac{r}{2a_n}$ and taking $\mu \in [0, 1[$ such that $d_n - \mu \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $r_\mu := r - 2\mu a_n > s_1 - s$.

Now Lemma 4 yields the existence of $h \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}$ such that $f = \Lambda_r h$, i.e.

$$f = (1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^{d_n - \mu} (1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^\mu h + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \partial_{x_k}^2)^{d_0} h. \quad (62)$$

Setting $g_1 = ((1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^\mu h) \circ \sigma$ and $g_0 = h \circ \sigma$, we may apply the higher-order chain rule to e.g. $h = g_0 \circ \tau$ (using denseness of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{S}' and the \mathcal{S}' -continuity of composition in (58), Appendix A extends to \mathcal{S}'). Taking into account that $\tau(x) = (\tau'(x'), x_n)$, and letting prime indicate summation over multi-indices with $\beta_n = 0$,

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{d_n-\mu} \eta_{n,l} \partial_{x_n}^{2l} g_1 \circ \tau + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum'_{|\beta| \leq 2d_0} \eta_{k,\beta} \partial^\beta g_0 \circ \tau, \quad (63)$$

where $\eta_{n,l} := (-1)^l \binom{d_n-\mu}{l}$ and the $\eta_{k,\beta}$ are functions containing derivatives at least of order 1 of τ , and these can be estimated, say by $c \prod_{1 \leq m \leq 2d_0} \langle \partial_{x_k}^m \tau \rangle^{2d_0}$. Composing with σ and applying Lemma 1(i) gives for $d := \min(1, q, p_0, p_n)$, when $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ -norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \sigma\|^d &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{d_n-\mu} |\eta_{n,l}|^d \|\partial_{x_n}^{2l} g_1\|^d + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum'_{|\beta| \leq 2d_0} \|\eta_{k,\beta} \circ \sigma\|^d \|M(F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}})\|^d \|\partial^\beta g_0\|^d \\ &\leq c \|g_1\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r_\mu,\vec{a}}}^d + \|g_0\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}}^d \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum'_{|\beta| \leq 2d_0} \|\eta_{k,\beta} \circ \sigma\|^d \|M(F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}})\|^d. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

According to the remark preceding Lemma 7, the last sum is finite because $\eta_{k,\beta} \in C_{L_\infty}^\infty$. Finally, since $s + r_\mu > s_1$ and $s + r > s_1$, the stated assumption means that $h \mapsto g_1$ and $h \mapsto g_0$ are bounded, which in view of $r_\mu + 2\mu a_n = r$ and Lemmas 4–5 yields

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|^d \leq c \|h\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r_\mu+2\mu a_n,\vec{a}}}^d + \|h\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}}^d \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}^d, \quad (65)$$

proving the boundedness of $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ in $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

In addition to the reduction in Proposition 2, we adopt in Theorem 4 below the strategy for the isotropic, unmixed case developed by Triebel [Tri92, 4.3.2], who used Taylor expansions for the inner and outer functions for large s .

While his explanation was rather sketchy, our task is to account for the fact that the strategy extends to anisotropies and to mixed norms. Hence we give full details. This will also allow us to give brief proofs of additional results in Sections 4.2 and 5 below.

To control the Taylor expansions, it will be crucial for us to exploit both the local means recalled in Theorem 3 and the parameter-dependent set-up in Theorem 1. This is prepared for with the following discussion.

The functions k_0 and k in Theorem 3 are for the proof of Theorem 4 chosen (as we may) so that N in the definition of k fulfils $s < 2N\underline{a}$ and so that both are *even* functions and

$$\text{supp } k_0, \text{ supp } k \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 1\}. \quad (66)$$

The set Θ in Theorem 1 is chosen to be the set of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i,k})$ that, in terms of the constants $c_\sigma, C_{\alpha,\sigma}$ in (57) and (55), respectively, satisfy

$$|\det \mathcal{B}| \geq c_\sigma, \quad (67)$$

$$\max_{i,k} |b_{i,k}| \leq \max_{|\alpha|=1} C_{\alpha,\sigma} =: C_\sigma. \quad (68)$$

Splitting $z = (z', z_n)$, we set $g(z) = z'^{\gamma'} k(z)$ for some $\gamma' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$ (chosen later) and define

$$\psi_\theta(y) = g(\mathcal{A}y', y_n) \quad (69)$$

where θ is identified with $\mathcal{A}^{-1} := J\sigma'(x')$, which obviously belongs to Θ (for each x').

To verify that the above functions ψ_θ , $\theta \in \Theta$, satisfy the moment condition (43) for an M_{ψ_θ} such that the assumption $s < (M_{\psi_\theta} + 1)\underline{a}$ in Theorem 1 is fulfilled, note that

$$\widehat{\psi}_\theta(\xi) = |\det \mathcal{A}|^{-1} \mathcal{F}g({}^t\mathcal{A}^{-1}\xi', \xi_n). \quad (70)$$

Hence $D^\alpha \widehat{\psi}_\theta$ vanishes at $\xi = 0$ when $D^\alpha \widehat{g} = D^\alpha (-D_{\xi'}^{\gamma'}) \widehat{k}(\xi)$ does so. As $\widehat{k}(\xi) = -|\xi|^{2N} \widehat{k^0}(\xi)$ and $\widehat{k^0}(0) \neq 0$, we have $D^\alpha \widehat{g}(0) = 0$ for α satisfying $|\alpha| + |\gamma'| \leq 2N - 1$. In the course of the proof below, cf. Step 3, we obtain a θ -independent estimate of $|\gamma'|$, hence of M_{ψ_θ} .

Moreover, the constant A in Theorem 1 is finite: Basic properties of the Fourier transform give the following estimate, where the constant is independent of $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \in \Theta$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha \mathcal{F}\psi_\theta\|_{L_\infty} &\leq \int |y^\alpha g(\mathcal{A}y', y_n)| dy \\ &= |\det \mathcal{A}^{-1}| \int |z_n^{\alpha_n}| |(\mathcal{A}^{-1}z')^{\alpha'}| |g(z)| dz \leq c(\alpha, C_\sigma) \int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z)| dz. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

To estimate B we exploit that $\mathcal{F}: B_{2,1}^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded according to Szasz's inequality (cf. [ScTr87, Prop. 1.7.5]) and obtain

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^{M+1} D^\gamma \mathcal{F}\psi_\theta\|_{L_1} \leq c \|y^\gamma g(\mathcal{A}y', y_n)\|_{B_{2,1}^{M+1+\frac{n}{2}}} \leq c(\gamma, C_\sigma, C_\tau) \|k\|_{C_0^m}, \quad (72)$$

when $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen so large that $m > M + 1 + n/2$. In fact, the last inequality is obtained using the embeddings $C_0^m \hookrightarrow H^m \hookrightarrow B_{2,1}^{M+1+n/2}$ and the estimate

$$\|y^\gamma \psi_\theta\|_{C_0^m} = \sup |\partial^\alpha (y^\gamma (\mathcal{A}y')^{\gamma'} k(\mathcal{A}y', y_n))| \leq c(\gamma, C_\sigma, C_\tau) \|k\|_{C_0^m}. \quad (73)$$

This relies on the higher-order chain rule, cf. Appendix A, and the support of k : it suffices to use the supremum over $|\alpha| \leq m$ and $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\mathcal{A}y'|^2 + y_n^2 \leq 1\}$, and for a point in this set $|y'| \leq \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\| |\mathcal{A}y'| \leq c(C_\sigma)$, so we need only estimate on an \mathcal{A} -independent cylinder.

Replacing k by k_0 in the definition of g and setting $\psi_{\theta,0}(y) := g(\mathcal{A}y', y_n)$, the finiteness of C and D follows analogously. The Tauberian properties follow from $\int k_0 \neq 0 \neq \int k^0$.

Hence all assumptions in Theorem 1 are satisfied, and we are thus ready to prove our main result

Theorem 4. *If σ is a bounded diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n on the form in (60), then $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ is a linear homeomorphism $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ when (59) holds.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2, it suffices to consider $s > s_1$, say for

$$s_1 := K_0 a_0 + (n-1) \frac{a_0}{p_0} + \frac{a_n}{\min(p_0, p_n)}, \quad (74)$$

whereby K_0 is the smallest integer satisfying

$$K_0 a_0 > (n-1) \frac{a_0}{p_0} + \frac{a_n}{\min(p_0, p_n)}. \quad (75)$$

We now let $s \in]s_1, \infty[$ be given and take some $K \geq K_0$, i.e. K solving (75), such that

$$K a_0 + (n-1) \frac{a_0}{p_0} + \frac{a_n}{\min(p_0, p_n)} < s < 2K a_0. \quad (76)$$

(The interval thus defined is non-empty by (75), and the left end point is at least s_1 .)

Note that (76) yields that every $f \in F_{\vec{p}, q}^{s, \vec{a}}$ is continuous, cf. Lemma 1(iii); so are even the derivatives $D^\beta f$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 0)$, $|\beta| \leq K$, since $s - \beta \cdot \vec{a} = s - |\beta| a_0 > \vec{a} \cdot 1/\vec{p}$.

Step 1. For the norms $\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p}, q}^{s, \vec{a}}}$ and $\|f\|_{F_{\vec{p}, q}^{s, \vec{a}}}$ in inequality (61), which also here suffices, we use Theorem 3 with $2N > (K-1)(2K-1) + s/\underline{a}$.

By the symmetry of k_0 and k in (66), we shall estimate

$$k_j * (f \circ \sigma)(x) = \int_{|z| \leq 1} k(z) f(\sigma(x + 2^{-j\vec{a}} z)) dz, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (77)$$

together with the corresponding expression for k_0 , where k is replaced by k_0 .

First we make a Taylor expansion of the entries in $\sigma'(x') := (\sigma_1(x'), \dots, \sigma_{n-1}(x'))$, to the order $2K-1$. So for $\ell = 1, \dots, n-1$ there exists $\omega_\ell \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\sigma_\ell(x' + z') = \sum_{|\alpha'| < 2K} \frac{\partial^{\alpha'} \sigma_\ell(x')}{\alpha'!} z'^{\alpha'} + \sum_{|\alpha'| = 2K} \frac{\partial^{\alpha'} \sigma_\ell(x' + \omega_\ell z')}{\alpha'!} z'^{\alpha'}. \quad (78)$$

For convenience, we let \sum'_α denote summation over multiindices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ having $\alpha_n = 0$ and define the vector of Taylor polynomials, respectively entries of a remainder R ,

$$P_{2K-1}(z') = \sum'_{|\alpha| \leq 2K-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha \sigma'(x')}{\alpha!} z'^\alpha, \quad R_\ell(z') = \sum'_{|\alpha| = 2K} \frac{\partial^\alpha \sigma_\ell(x' + \omega_\ell z')}{\alpha!} z'^\alpha. \quad (79)$$

Applying the Mean Value Theorem to f , cf. (76), now yields an $\tilde{\omega} \in]0, 1[$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |k_j * (f \circ \sigma)(x)| &\leq \left| \int_{|z| \leq 1} k(z) f(P_{2K-1}(2^{-ja'} z'), x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n) dz \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z) \partial_{x_d} f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n) R_d(2^{-ja'} z')| dz, \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

when $y' := P_{2K-1}(2^{-ja'} z') + \tilde{\omega}(R_1(2^{-ja'} z'), \dots, R_{n-1}(2^{-ja'} z'))$. Using (55) and (78), it is obvious that this y' fulfils

$$|\sigma(x) - (y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n)| \leq |\sigma'(x') - y'| + |2^{-ja_n} z_n| < C \quad (81)$$

for each $z \in \text{supp } k$ and some constant C depending only on n and $C_{\alpha, \sigma}$ with $|\alpha| \leq 2K$.

Step 2. Concerning the remainder terms in (80) we exploit (81) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z) \partial_{x_d} f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n) R_d(2^{-ja'} z')| dz \\ &\leq 2^{-2jKa_0} \left(\sum_{|\alpha'| = 2K} \frac{\|\partial^{\alpha'} \sigma_d\|_{L_\infty}}{\alpha'!} \right) \int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z)| dz \sup_{|\sigma(x) - y| < C} |\partial_{x_d} f(y)|. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The exponent in 2^{-2jKa_0} is a result of (59) and the chosen Taylor expansion of $\sigma(x+2^{-j\vec{a}}z)$, and since $s - 2Ka_0 < 0$ the norm of ℓ_q is trivial to calculate, whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^{js} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z)| \partial_{x_d} f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n) R_d(2^{-ja'} z') |dz| \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \\ & \leq c \left\| \sup_{|\sigma(x)-y| < C} |\partial_{x_d} f(y)| \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Now we use that $p_1 = \dots = p_{n-1}$ to change variables in the resulting integral over \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , with τ' denoting $(\sigma')^{-1}$. Since Lemma 2 in view of (76) applies to $\partial_{x_d} f$, $d = 1, \dots, n-1$, the right-hand side of the last inequality can be estimated, using also Lemma 1(i), by

$$c \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\det J\tau'(y)| \right)^{1/p_0} \|\partial_{x_d} f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-a_d,\vec{a}}} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}. \quad (84)$$

Step 3. To treat the first term in (80), we Taylor expand $f(\cdot, x_n)$, which is in $C^K(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Setting $P(z') = P_{2K-1}(z') - P_1(z')$, expansion at the vector $P_1(2^{-ja'} z')$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(P_{2K-1}(2^{-ja'} z'), x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n) &= \sum'_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq K-1} \frac{D^\beta f(P_1(2^{-ja'} z'), x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n)}{\beta!} P(2^{-ja'} z')^\beta \\ &\quad + \sum'_{|\beta|=K} \frac{D^\beta f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n)}{\beta!} P(2^{-ja'} z')^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where y' is a vector analogous to that in (80) and satisfies (81), perhaps with another C .

To deal with the remainder in (85), note that the order was chosen to ensure that, in the powers $P(2^{-ja'} z')^\beta$, the l 'th factor is the β_l 'th power of a sum of terms each containing a factor $2^{-ja_0|\alpha'|}$ with $|\alpha'| \geq 2$. Hence each $|\beta| = K$ in total contributes by $O(2^{-2jKa_0})$. More precisely, as in Step 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left| k(z) \sum'_{|\beta|=K} \frac{D^\beta f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n)}{\beta!} P(2^{-ja'} z')^\beta \right| dz \\ & \leq 2^{-j2Ka_0} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |k(z)| dz \left(\sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2K-1} C_{\alpha,\sigma} \right)^K \sum'_{|\beta|=K} \sup_{|\sigma(x)-y| < C} |D^\beta f(y)|. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

In view of (76), Lemma 2 barely also applies to $D^\beta f$ for $|\beta| = K$, so the above gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^{sj} \int_{|z| \leq 1} k(z) \sum'_{|\beta|=K} \frac{D^\beta f(y', x_n + 2^{-ja_n} z_n)}{\beta!} P(2^{-ja'} z')^\beta dz \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \\ & \leq c \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\det J\tau'(y)| \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \sum'_{|\beta|=K} \|D^\beta f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-\beta\cdot\vec{a},\vec{a}}} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

Now it remains to estimate the other terms resulting from (85), i.e.

$$\sum'_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq K-1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} k(z) \frac{D^\beta f(P_1(2^{-j\alpha'} z'), x_n + 2^{-j\alpha_n} z_n)}{\beta!} P(2^{-j\alpha'} z')^\beta dz. \quad (88)$$

Using the multinomial formula on $P(z') = \sum'_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2K-1} z^\gamma \partial^\gamma \sigma'(x') / \gamma!$ and the g and ψ_θ discussed in (69), the above task is finally reduced to controlling terms like

$$\begin{aligned} I_{j,\beta,\gamma}(\sigma'(x'), x_n) &:= 2^{-2j|\beta|a_0} \int_{|z| \leq 1} g(z) D^\beta f(\sigma'(x') + 2^{-j\alpha_0} J\sigma'(x')z', x_n + 2^{-j\alpha_n} z_n) dz \\ &= 2^{-2j|\beta|a_0} |\det \mathcal{A}| \int \psi_\theta(y) D^\beta f(\sigma'(x') + 2^{-j\alpha_0} y', x_n + 2^{-j\alpha_n} y_n) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Note that in g, ψ_θ we have $2 \leq |\gamma| \leq |\beta|(2K-1)$ and $|\beta| \leq K-1, \beta_n = 0 = \gamma_n$.

Step 4. Before we estimate (89), it is first observed that all previous steps apply in a similar way to the convolution $k_0 * (f \circ \sigma)$ — except in this case there is no dilation, so the ℓ_q -norm is omitted and the function ψ_θ is replaced by $\psi_{\theta,0}$.

So, when collecting the terms of the form (89) with finitely many β, γ in both cases (omitting remainders from Steps 2–3), we obtain with two changes of variables and (46),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} I_{j,\beta,\gamma}(\sigma'(x'), x_n) \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} + \left\| 2^{js} \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} I_{j,\beta,\gamma}(\sigma'(x'), x_n) \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \\ &\leq c \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\det J\tau'(y)| \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \left(\left\| \int \psi_{\theta,0}(y) D^\beta f(x-y) dy \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| 2^{j(s-2|\beta|a_0)} \int \psi_\theta(y) D^\beta f(x - 2^{-j\vec{a}} y) dy \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \right) \\ &\leq c \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} \left\| \left\{ 2^{j(s-2|\beta|a_0)} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \psi_{\theta,j}^* D^\beta f \right\}_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Here we apply Theorem 1 to the family of functions $\psi_{\theta,0}, \psi_\theta$ with the φ_j chosen as the Fourier transformed of the system in the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, cf. (11). Estimating $|\gamma|$, the ψ_θ satisfy the moment condition (43) with $M_{\psi_\theta} := 2N-1-(K-1)(2K-1)$, which fulfils $s < (M_{\psi_\theta} + 1)\underline{a}$, because of the choice of N in Step 1. So, by applying Theorem 2 and Lemma 1(i), using $s - 2|\beta|a_0 \leq s - \beta \cdot \vec{a}$, the above is estimated thus:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left\{ 2^{js} \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} I_{j,\beta,\gamma}(\sigma'(x'), x_n) \right\}_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \\ &\leq c(A + B + C + D) \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} \left\| \left\{ 2^{j(s-2|\beta|a_0)} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \Phi_j)^* D^\beta f \right\}_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\ell_q)} \\ &\leq c \sum'_{\beta,\gamma} \| D^\beta f \|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s-2|\beta|a_0,\vec{a}}} \leq c \| f \|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

This proves the necessary estimate for the given $s > s_1$. □

4.2. Groups of bounded diffeomorphisms. It is not difficult to see that the proofs in Section 4.1 did not really use that x_n is a single variable. It could just as well have been replaced by a whole group of variables x'' , corresponding to a splitting $x = (x', x'')$, provided σ acts as the identity on x'' .

Moreover, x' could equally well have been ‘embedded’ into x'' , that is x'' could contain variables x_k both with $k < j_0$ and with $k > j_1$ when $x' = (x_{j_0}, \dots, x_{j_1})$ (but no interlacing); in particular the changes of variables yielding (84) would carry over to this situation when $p_{j_0} = \dots = p_{j_1}$. It is also not difficult to see that Proposition 2 extends to this situation when $a_{j_0} = \dots = a_{j_1}$ (perhaps with several g_1 -terms, each having a value of μ).

Thus we may generalise Theorem 4 to situations with a splitting into $m \geq 2$ groups, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{N_m}$ where $N_1 + \dots + N_m = n$, namely when

$$\vec{p} = (\underbrace{p_1, \dots, p_1}_{N_1}, \underbrace{p_2, \dots, p_2}_{N_2}, \dots, \underbrace{p_m, \dots, p_m}_{N_m}), \quad (92)$$

$$\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \dots, a_m, \dots, a_m), \quad (93)$$

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma'_1(x_{(1)}), \dots, \sigma'_m(x_{(m)})) \quad (94)$$

with arbitrary bounded diffeomorphisms σ'_j on \mathbb{R}^{N_j} and $x_{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_j}$.

Indeed, viewing σ as a composition of $\sigma_1 := \sigma'_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-N_1}}$ etc. on \mathbb{R}^n , the above gives

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} \leq c \|f \circ \sigma_m \circ \dots \circ \sigma_2\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}} \leq \dots \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}. \quad (95)$$

Theorem 5. $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ is a linear homeomorphism on $F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}$ when (92), (93), (94) hold.

5. DERIVED RESULTS

5.1. Diffeomorphisms on Domains. The strategies of Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 also give the following local version. E.g., for the paraboloid $U = \{x \mid x_n > x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2\}$ we may take σ to consist in a rotation around the x_n -axis; cf. (60).

Theorem 6. Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$ a C^∞ -bijection as in (60). If (59) is fulfilled and $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V)$ has compact support, then $f \circ \sigma \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)$ and

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V)} \quad (96)$$

holds for a constant c depending only on σ and the set $\text{supp } f$.

Proof. Step 1. Let us consider $s > s_1$, cf. (74), and adapt the proof of Theorem 4 to the local set-up. We shall prove the statement for the $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V)$ satisfying $\text{supp } f \subset K \subset V$ for some arbitrary compact set K . First we fix $r \in]0, 1[$ so small that

$$6r < \min(\text{dist}(K, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V), \text{dist}(\sigma^{-1}(K), \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U)). \quad (97)$$

Then, by Lemma 8, we have $\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U)} = \|e_U(f \circ \sigma)\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}}$ when Theorem 3 is utilised for $k_0, k \in \mathcal{S}$, say so that $\text{supp } k_0, \text{supp } k \subset B(0, r)$; cf. also (66). Extension by 0 outside U of $f \circ \sigma$ is redundant, for it suffices to integrate over $x \in W := \text{supp}(f \circ \sigma) + \overline{B}(0, r)$. However, to apply the Mean Value Theorem, cf. (80), we extend f by 0 instead, i.e. we consider (77) with integration over $|z| \leq r$ and with f replaced by $e_V f$.

Since $e_V f$ inherits the regularity of f (cf. Lemma 8) and $\partial^\alpha \sigma$ can be estimated on the compact set W , the proof of Theorem 4 carries over straightforwardly. E.g. one obtains a variant of (84) where $|\det J\tau'(x')|^{1/p_0}$ is estimated over $\{x' | \exists x_n : (x', x_n) \in \sigma(W)\}$, and the integration is then extended to \mathbb{R}^n , which by Lemma 8 yields

$$\| \sup_{|x-y|<C} |\partial_{x_d} e_V f(y)| \| L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}_x^n) \| \leq c \| e_V f \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = c \| f \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V). \quad (98)$$

To estimate the first term in (80) in this local version, the argumentation there is modified as above and the set Θ is chosen to be the set of all $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices satisfying (67) with infimum over $x \in W$ and (68) with $C_\sigma := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n, |\alpha|=1} \sup_{x \in W} |D^\alpha \sigma_j(x)|$.

Before applying Theorem 1 to the new estimate (90), the integration is extended to \mathbb{R}^n (using $e_V f$). Then application of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 together with Lemma 8 finishes the proof for $s > s_1$.

Step 2. For $s \leq s_1$ we use Lemma 4 to write $e_V f = \Lambda_r h$ for some $h \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; hence the identity (62) holds in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $e_V f$ and h . Applying r_V to both sides and using that it commutes with differentiation on C_0^∞ , hence on \mathcal{D}' , we obtain (63) as an identity in $\mathcal{D}'(V)$ for the new $g_0 := (r_V h) \circ \sigma$ and $g_1 := (r_V(1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^\mu h) \circ \sigma$.

Composing with σ yields an identity in $\mathcal{D}'(U)$, when $\eta_{k,\beta} \circ \sigma$ is treated using cut-off functions. E.g. we can take $\chi, \chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(U)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\text{supp}(f \circ \sigma) + \overline{B}(0, r) =: W_r$ and $\text{supp } \chi \subset W_{2r}$, while $\chi_1 \equiv 1$ on W_{3r} and $\text{supp } \chi_1 \subset W_{4r}$. This entails

$$\chi \cdot f \circ \sigma = \sum_{l=0}^{d_n-\mu} \eta_{n,l} \chi \partial_{x_n}^{2l} (\chi_1 g_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum'_{|\beta| \leq 2d_0} \eta_{k,\beta} \circ \sigma \cdot \chi \partial^\beta (\chi_1 g_0). \quad (99)$$

Using e_U on both sides (and omitting \mathbb{R}^n in the spaces), Lemma 8 and Lemma 7 imply

$$\| f \circ \sigma \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U) \|^d \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{d_n-\mu} \| e_U(\partial_{x_n}^{2l} (\chi_1 g_1)) \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \|^d + c \sum'_{|\beta| \leq 2d_0} \| e_U(\partial^\beta (\chi_1 g_0)) \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}} \|^d. \quad (100)$$

As e_U and differentiation commute on $\mathcal{E}'(U) \ni \chi_1 g_j$, Lemma 1(i) leads to an estimate from above. But Lemma 8 applies since the supports are in W_{4r} , so with $\tilde{\chi}_1 := \chi_1 \circ \tau$ we find that the above is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & c \| e_U(\chi_1 g_1) \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}} \|^d + c \| e_U(\chi_1 g_0) \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}} \|^d \\ & = c \| (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot r_V(1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^\mu h) \circ \sigma \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}(U) \|^d + c \| (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot r_V h) \circ \sigma \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}(U) \|^d. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

Using Step 1 and Lemma 7, Lemma 5, Lemma 4 and Lemma 8, this entails

$$\begin{aligned} \| f \circ \sigma \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U) \|^d & \leq c \| (1 - \partial_{x_n}^2)^\mu h \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}} \|^d + c \| h \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}} \|^d \\ & \leq c \| \Lambda_r^{-1} e_V f \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}} \|^d \leq c \| f \| F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V) \|^d. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

This shows the local theorem for $s \leq s_1$. \square

There is also a local version of Theorem 5, with similar proof, namely

Theorem 7. *Let $\sigma_j : U_j \rightarrow V_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, be C^∞ bijections, where $U_j, V_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_j}$ are open. When \vec{a}, \vec{p} fulfill (92)–(93) and when $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U_1 \times \dots \times U_m)$ has compact support, then (96) holds true for $U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_m$ and $V = V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$.*

As a preparation for our coming work [JHS], we include a natural extension to the case of an infinite cylinder, where $\text{supp } f$ is only required to be compact on cross sections:

Theorem 8. *Let $\sigma : U \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ -bijection on the form in (60), and $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ open. If (59) holds and $f \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V \times \mathbb{R})$ has $\text{supp } f \subset K \times \mathbb{R}$, whereby $K \subset V$ is compact, then $f \circ \sigma \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(U \times \mathbb{R})} \leq c(\text{supp } f, \sigma) \|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (103)$$

Proof. We adapt the proof of Theorem 6: in Step 1 we take $r \in]0, 1[$ so small that $6r$ is less than both $\text{dist}(K, \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus V)$ and $\text{dist}(\sigma'^{-1}(K), \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus U)$. Since the extension by zero $e_{V \times \mathbb{R}} f$ is well defined, as $K \subset V$ is compact, it is an immediate corollary to the proof of Lemma 8 that

$$\|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(V \times \mathbb{R})} = \|e_{V \times \mathbb{R}} f\|_{F_{\vec{p},q}^{s,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (104)$$

Then the proof for $s > s_1$ follows that of Theorem 6, with $W := (\sigma'^{-1}(K) + \overline{B}(0, r)) \times \mathbb{R}$.

For $s \leq s_1$ we have $e_{V \times \mathbb{R}} f = \Lambda_r h$ for some $h \in F_{\vec{p},q}^{s+r,\vec{a}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; cf. Lemma 4. Hence (63) holds as an identity in $\mathcal{D}'(V \times \mathbb{R})$ for $g_1 := (r_{V \times \mathbb{R}}(1 - \partial_{x_{n+1}}^2)^\mu h) \circ \sigma$ and $g_0 := (r_{V \times \mathbb{R}} h) \circ \sigma$.

The $\eta_{k,\beta} \circ \sigma$ are controlled using cut-off functions $\chi, \chi_1 \in C_{L^\infty}^\infty(U)$ with similar properties in terms of the sets $W_r = (\sigma'^{-1}(K) + \overline{B}(0, r)) \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus we obtain (99) in $\mathcal{D}'(U \times \mathbb{R})$.

Now, as in (104) it is seen that $f \circ \sigma$ and $e_{U \times \mathbb{R}}(\chi \cdot f \circ \sigma)$ have identical norms, so the estimates in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 6 finish the proof, mutatis mutandis. \square

5.2. Isotropic Spaces. Going to the other extreme, when also $a_n = a_0$ and $p_n = p_0$, then the Lizorkin–Triebel spaces are invariant under any bounded diffeomorphism (i.e. without (60)), since in that case we can just change variables in all coordinates, in particular in (83)–(84). Moreover, we can adapt Proposition 2 by taking $d_n = d_0$ and $\mu = 0$ in the proof; and the set-up prior to Theorem 4 is also easily modified to the isotropic situation. Hence we obtain

Corollary 1. *When $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is any bounded diffeomorphism, then $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ is a linear homeomorphism of $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

This is known from work of Triebel [Tri92, Th. 4.3.2], which also contains a corresponding result for Besov spaces. (It is this proof we extended to mixed norms in the previous section.) The result has also been obtained recently by Scharf [Sch13], who covered all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by means of an extended notion of atomic decompositions.

In an analogous way, we also obtain an isotropic counterpart to Theorem 6:

Corollary 2. *When $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$ is a C^∞ -bijection between open sets $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $f \circ \sigma \in F_{p,q}^s(U)$ for every $f \in F_{p,q}^s(V)$ having compact support and*

$$\|f \circ \sigma\|_{F_{p,q}^s(U)} \leq c(\text{supp } f, \sigma) \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(V)}. \quad (105)$$

APPENDIX A. THE HIGHER-ORDER CHAIN RULE

For convenience we give a formula for the higher order derivative of a composite map

$$\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{C}. \quad (106)$$

Namely, when f, g are C^k and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then for every multi-index γ with $1 \leq |\gamma| \leq k$,

$$\partial^\gamma(g \circ f)(x_0) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq |\gamma|} \partial^\alpha g(f(x_0)) \sum_{\substack{\forall j: \alpha_j = \sum_{\beta^j} n_{\beta^j} \\ \gamma = \sum_{j, \beta^j} n_{\beta^j} \beta^j}} \gamma! \prod_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ 1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq |\gamma|}} \frac{1}{n_{\beta^j}!} \left(\frac{\partial^{\beta^j} f_j(x_0)}{\beta^j!} \right)^{n_{\beta^j}}. \quad (107)$$

Hereby the first sum is over multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, which in the second are split

$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{1 \leq |\beta^1| \leq |\gamma|} n_{\beta^1}, \dots, \alpha_m = \sum_{1 \leq |\beta^m| \leq |\gamma|} n_{\beta^m} \quad (108)$$

into integers $n_{\beta^j} \geq 0$ (parametrised by $\beta^j = (\beta_1^j, \dots, \beta_n^j)$ in \mathbb{N}_0^n , with upper index j) that fulfil the constraint

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq |\gamma|} n_{\beta^j} \beta^j. \quad (109)$$

Formula (107) and (109) result from Taylor's limit formula: $g(y+y_0) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} c_\alpha y^\alpha + o(|y|^k)$ that holds for $y \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $c_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha g(y_0)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. (Necessity is seen recursively for $y \rightarrow 0$ along suitable lines; sufficiency from the integral remainder.)

Indeed, $k = |\gamma|$ suffices, and with $y = f(x+x_0) - f(x_0)$ Taylor's formula applies to both g and to each entry f_j (by summing over an auxiliary multi-index $\beta^j \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$),

$$\begin{aligned} g(f(x+x_0)) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha g(f(x_0)) y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_m^{\alpha_m} + o(|y|^k) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial^\alpha g(f(x_0)) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\alpha_j!} \left(\sum_{1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq k} \frac{x^{\beta^j}}{(\beta^j)!} \partial^{\beta^j} f_j(x_0) + o(|x|^k) \right)^{\alpha_j} + o(|y|^k). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Here the first remainder is $o(|x|^k)$ since $o(|y|^k)/|x|^k = o(1)(|f(x+x_0) - f(x_0)|/|x|)^k \rightarrow 0$. Using the binomial formula and expanding $\prod_{j=1}^m$, the other remainders are also seen to contribute by terms that are $o(|x|^k)$, or better; whence a single $o(|x|^k)$ suffices.

Hence we shall expand $(\dots)^{\alpha_j}$ using the multinomial formula. So we split $\alpha_j = \sum n_{\beta^j}$, with integers $n_{\beta^j} \geq 0$ in the sum over all multi-indices $\beta^j \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq k$. The corresponding multinomial coefficient is $\alpha_j! / \prod_{\beta^j} (n_{\beta^j})!$, so (110) yields

$$g(f(x+x_0)) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial^\alpha g(f(x_0)) \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha_j = \sum_{\beta^j} n_{\beta^j}} \prod_{1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq k} \frac{1}{n_{\beta^j}!} \left(\frac{x^{\beta^j}}{\beta^j!} \partial^{\beta^j} f_j(x_0) \right)^{n_{\beta^j}} + o(|x|^k). \quad (111)$$

Calculating these products, of factors having a choice of $\alpha_j = \sum n_{\beta^j}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, one obtains polynomials x^ω associated to multi-indices $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq |\beta^j| \leq k} n_{\beta^j} \beta^j$.

For $|\omega| > k$ these are $o(|x|^k)$, hence contribute to the remainder. Thus modified, (111) is Taylor's formula of order k for $g \circ f$, so that $\partial^\gamma(g \circ f)(x_0)/\gamma!$ is given by the coefficient of x^ω for $\omega = \gamma$, which yields (109) and (107).

This concise proof has seemingly not been worked out before, so it should be interesting in its own right. E.g. the Taylor expansions make the presence of the β^j obvious, and the condition $\gamma = \sum_{j,\beta^j} n_{\beta^j} \beta^j$ is natural. Also the constants $\gamma!/\prod n_{\beta^j}!$ and $(\beta^j)!^{-n_{\beta^j}}$ lead to easy applications. Clearly $\partial^\alpha g(f(x_0))$ is multiplied by a polynomial in the derivatives of f_1, \dots, f_m , which has degree $\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\beta^j} n_{\beta^j} = \sum_j \alpha_j = |\alpha|$.

The formula (107) itself is well known for $n = 1 = m$ as the Faa di Bruno formula; cf. [Jsn02] for its history. For higher dimensions, the formulas seem to have been less explicit.

The other contributions we know have been rather less straightforward, because of reductions, say to f, g being polynomials (or to finite Taylor series), and/or by use of lengthy combinatorial arguments with recursively given polynomials, which replace the sum over the β^j in (107); such as the Bell polynomials that are used in e.g. [Rod93, Thm. 4.2.4].

Closest to the present approach, we have found the contributions [Spd05] and [Frae78] in case of one and several variables, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [Ber85] M. Z. Berkolaiko, *Theorems on traces on coordinate subspaces for some spaces of differentiable functions with anisotropic mixed norm*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **282** (1985), no. 5, 1042–1046, English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 31 (1985), no. 3, 540–544.
- [BIN79] O. V. Besov, V. P. Il'in, and S. M. Nikol'skij, *Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems*, V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C., 1978–79, Translated from the Russian, Scripta Series in Mathematics, Edited by Mitchell H. Taibleson.
- [BIN96] ———, *Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1996, 2nd edition (in russian).
- [Bug71] Ya. S. Bugrov, *Function spaces with mixed norm*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **35** (1971), 1137–1158, Engl. transl. Math USSR **5** (1971), 1145–1167.
- [Frae78] L. E. Fraenkel, *Formulae for high derivatives of composite functions*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **83** (1978), 159–165.
- [Han10] M. Hansen, *Nonlinear approximation and function spaces of dominating mixed smoothness*, Ph.D. thesis, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, 2010.
- [Hör97] L. Hörmander, *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [Joh95] J. Johnsen, *Pointwise multiplication of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces*, Math. Nachr. **175** (1995), 85–133.
- [JS07] J. Johnsen, W. Sickel, *A direct proof of Sobolev embeddings for quasi-homogeneous Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **5** (2007), 183–198.
- [JS08] J. Johnsen, W. Sickel, *On the trace problem for Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, Math. Nachr. **281** (2008), 669–696.
- [JHS12] J. Johnsen, S. Munch Hansen, W. Sickel, *Characterisation by local means of anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, to appear in J. Analysis Appl.
- [JHS] J. Johnsen, S. Munch Hansen, W. Sickel, *Traces on anisotropic Lizorkin–Triebel spaces with mixed norms over cylindrical sets*, in preparation.

- [Jsn02] W. P. Johnson, *The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula*. Amer. Math. Monthly **109** (2002), 217–234.
- [Liz70] P. I. Lizorkin, *Multipliers of Fourier integrals and bounds of convolutions in spaces with mixed norms. applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 225–255, Engl. transl. Math. USSR Izv. **4** (1970).
- [Rod93] L. Rodino, *Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [RS96] T. Runst and W. Sickel, *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators and nonlinear partial differential equations*, de Gruyter, Berlin 1996.
- [Ryc99a] V. Ryckov, *On a theorem of Bui, Paluszynski and Taibleson*, Proc. Steklov Institute **227** (1999), 280–292.
- [Sch13] B. Scharf, *Atomic representations in functions spaces and applications to pointwise multipliers and diffeomorphisms, a new approach*, Math. Nachr., **286** (2013), 283–305.
- [ScTr87] H.-J. Schmeisser and H. Triebel, *Topics in Fourier analysis and function spaces*, Geest and Portig, 1987, Wiley, Chichester 1987.
- [Spd05] K. Spindler, *A short proof of the formula of Faà di Bruno*, Elem. Math., **60** (2005), 33–35.
- [Tri92] ———, *Theory of function spaces II*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 84, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [Tri08] ———, *Function spaces and wavelets on domains*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 7, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [Vyb06] Jan Vybiral, *Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **436** (2006), 73.
- [Wei98] P. Weidemaier, *Existence results in L_p - L_q spaces for second order parabolic equations with inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Progress in partial differential equations, Vol. 2 (Pont-à-Mousson, 1997), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 384, Longman, Harlow, 1998, pp. 189–200.
- [Wei02] ———, *Maximal regularity for parabolic equations with inhomogeneous boundary conditions in Sobolev spaces with mixed L_p -norm*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (2002), 47–51 (electronic).
- [Wei05] ———, *Lizorkin-Triebel spaces of vector-valued functions and sharp trace theory for functions in Sobolev spaces with a mixed L_p -norm in parabolic problems*, Mat. Sb., Rossiiskaya Akademiya Nauk. Matematicheskii Sbornik, vol. 196, (2005), pp. 3–16.
- [Yam86] M. Yamazaki, *A quasi-homogeneous version of paradifferential operators, I. Boundedness on spaces of Besov type*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **33** (1986), 131–174.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AALBORG UNIVERSITY, FREDRIK
BAJERS VEJ 7G, DK-9220 AALBORG ØST, DENMARK
E-mail address: JJOHNSEN@MATH.AAU.DK

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AALBORG UNIVERSITY, FREDRIK
BAJERS VEJ 7G, DK-9220 AALBORG ØST, DENMARK
E-mail address: SABRINA@MATH.AAU.DK

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ERNST-ABBE-PLATZ 2, D-07740 JENA, GERMANY
E-mail address: WINFRIED.SICKEL@UNI-JENA.DE